

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/733,605             | 12/11/2003                   | Gary M. Johnson      | 2008.007900/03-0478    | 8519             |
| 23720                  | 7590 04/11/2006              |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
|                        | S, MORGAN & AME              | LE, DINH THANH       |                        |                  |
| 10333 RICH<br>HOUSTON, | MOND, SUITE 1100<br>TX 77042 |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  |                  |
| ,,                     |                              |                      | 2816                   |                  |
|                        |                              |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/11/200 | 6                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |  | t   |
|---|---|--|---|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                                       | <del> </del>                                  |
| Advisory Action   | 10/733,605  | JOHNSON, GARY                                      | M.  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit   | <del>,</del>                                  |
|   | DINH T. LE  | 2816   |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | <u> </u>  | correspondence add                                 | iress   |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF  |   | •  | ,, 000  |
| . The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or   |   |  | andonment of                                  |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in committee with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more            | fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C   | nce, which .<br>CFR 41.31; or (3)             |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date   |   |  |   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I  |   |  |   |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or   | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | -  |   |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7<br>extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date   | •   | 136/a) and the appropris                           | ate extension fee                             |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  | ctension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>or than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off | riate extension fee<br>fice action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compared to the c | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be   | filed within two mont                              | hs of the date of                             |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external  |   |  | ne appeal. Since                              |
| a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed  | d within the time period set forth in 3   | 37 CFR 41.37(a).                                   |   |
| AMENDMENTS  | but anion to the data of filling of build   |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>   | · · ·   |  | ecause  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  | •   | TE DOIOW),   |   |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or   | •   | ducing or simplifying                              | the issues for                                |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally rej   | jected claims.                                     |   |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1   | 116 and 41.33(a)).  |  |   |
| I. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co   | ompliant Amendment                                 | (PTOL-324).                                   |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s   | ):  |  |   |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | llowable if submitted in a separate,  | timely filed amendme                               | ent canceling the                             |
| <ul> <li>✓. Sor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)</li> </ul>  | ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi   | ill be entered and an                              | explanation of                                |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro  |   |  |   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 25-44</u> .  | ·   |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  | ·   |  |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |  |   |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome all rejections under appe  | al and/or appellant fa                             | ails to provide a                             |
| 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | · •   |  |   |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

DINHT.LE

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the propose limitation "transistive capacitive delay" newly added to claim 1 required a further condideration and search .